

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of July 1, 2015

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. The data report the status of these reviews for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	0	0	130	30	9
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	59	8	247	67	11
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	36	4	82	38	16
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	553	8	285	88	17
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	0	0	152	21	7
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	0	0	62	18	6
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	0	0	262	24	6
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	1	1	57	41	23
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	50	1	16	17	9
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	96	1	76	23	6
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	2	1	74	30	9
TOTALS	797	24	1443	397	119

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	0	0	149	69	36
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	27	4	19	21	12
NAPA COUNTY LOP	1	0	0	2	2
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	0	0	181	43	15
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	0	0	36	20	1
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	2	0	107	47	28
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	2	0	156	55	20
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	37	1	16	29	10
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	0	0	62	10	1
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	0	0	91	27	7
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	0	0	49	37	16
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	0	2	115	81	44
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	0	0	33	14	5
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	0	0	92	23	16
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	0	0	11	16	5
TULARE COUNTY LOP	3	2	31	11	4
TOTALS	72	9	1148	505	222

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required i	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress iii	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 vi	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 viii
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	123	1	2	120	27	26	28	66
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	295	4	4	287	161	161	91	35
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	119	0	0	119	64	62	51	6
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	790	22	3	765	269	242	480	44
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	137	0	1	136	13	13	69	54
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	57	1	3	53	11	10	24	19
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	256	1	4	251	18	18	117	116
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	56	0	4	52	25	25	10	17
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	64	0	1	63	27	27	16	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	173	0	4	169	62	61	67	41
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	77	1	0	76	11	10	59	7
SWRCB-DWQ	16	0	0	16	2	0	16	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,163	30	26	2,107	690	655	1028	425

i PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ii PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

iii PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

iv PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

vi Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

vii Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

viii Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	135	0	0	135	15	15	118	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	46	0	0	46	34	34	8	4
NAPA COUNTY LOP	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	178	0	4	174	44	41	128	5
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	34	0	8	26	0	0	17	9
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	107	1	11	95	71	69	16	10
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	158	0	0	158	39	30	117	11
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	40	0	1	39	14	14	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	61	0	3	58	25	25	33	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	82	0	0	82	19	19	60	3
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	48	0	0	48	8	8	40	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	115	0	0	115	43	43	72	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	32	2	1	29	13	13	15	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	87	0	0	87	10	6	80	1
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	11	0	0	11	0	0	9	2
TULARE COUNTY LOP	37	0	3	34	26	26	5	3
TOTALS	1,172	3	31	1,138	362	344	739	55

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCBⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	172	499	1,018

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolved ⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	70	26	2	6	18	31	33	88	8

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.